

David Acord

1062 Hayes Road  
McKinleyville, California 95519

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

David Acord

Jeffrey merrill  
408 w ave F  
Garland, Texas 75040

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

My GOD!!! Do you have the audacity to stand behind such things, and still maintain you represent your constituents?

It is little wonder, the American troops are facing such resilient opposition within a country they "SO CALLED" liberated from oppression.

These OPPRESSIVE legislative proposals, are the very thing which breeds the same type of resentment seated at the ROOT of 911.

This will lead to law after law after law, to try and maintain safety from so called "Terrorist" from within our own country; which would TRUTHFULLY be classified as "The oppressed", given no other recourse than suicide, as they see no light at the end of the tunnel"(real similar to those under Nazi rule during WW2).

Do you really think, putting everyone in a glass box, is the answer to safety, when it would be much easier, to leave those alone who find oppression unacceptable.

And do YOU really feel, as a government representative, it is ok to do what-ever you desire to another human being, and in the end, some of the less stable won't snap and we all not pay the consequence?

If so, you are a member of the wrong country. You should find yourself welcome in red china, or cuba or the like.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of

Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

jeffrey merrill

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Aaron Hulse

Waldo Roberts  
235 Via Linda Vista  
Manitou Springs, CO 80829

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Waldo Roberts

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kathy McCord

Robert Kendrick Goode  
716 Kent Ave.  
Metairie, Louisiana 70001

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Robert Kendrick Goode

Todd Agnello  
155 Sewilo Hills Drive  
Rochester, NY 14622

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Todd Agnello

Laura Becker  
3838 E Freeland Road  
Freeland, MI 48623

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Laura Becker

Alice Rodenberg  
8438 Santos Dumont-P.O.B. 70  
Brownsville, California 95919

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Alice Z Rodenberg

Jonathan Smith  
11 Linwood Ave.  
Salem, NH 03079

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Smith

Sherri Abend-Fels  
1524 Club View Dr.  
LA, CA 90024

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Sherri Abend-Fels

Susan Schechter  
116 Drury Lane  
West Lafayette, IN 47906

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Susan Schechter

Francine Frank  
489 State St.  
Albany, NY 12203

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Francine Frank

Kat Dauchy  
2207 S. 5th Street #127  
Austin, TX 78704

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kat Dauchy

108-23 Mar 2004 08:01:00 AM EST P. 8  
Marilyn Joy Barbian  
45-071 Namoku St.  
Kaneohe, HI 96744

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Marilyn Joy Barbian

Mary Carroll  
4323 San Pedro Ne  
Albuquerque, NM 87109

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Mary Carroll

John Tiffany  
2117 Brandywood Dr  
Wilmington, DE 19810

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

John Tiffany

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

*I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.*

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Haven M. Hauser

Wendy Shields  
1509 Agua Fria St. # 9  
Santa Fe , NM 87501

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Wendy Shields

William Schempp  
2454 W. Dante Way  
Tucson, Az 85741

March 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

William V. Schempp